

Prof. Emerson M. Del Ponte

### Unravelling **FHB** Epidemics in the Brazilian Subtropics: Lessons Learned and management strategies

# No-till spring wheat in Brazil





Photo: Dr. Santana Embrapa Trigo

# **Question 1 - Our problem**

# Why FHB resurged as a concern to Brazilian wheat farmers?

#### Model development - process-based model



Del Ponte et al. (2005)

#### Model testing



### Climate driven boom and bust of FHB?



## **Question 2 - Who is our enemy?**

# What do we know about FHB pathogen diversity and toxigenic potential?

## **Diverse and a "complex" population!**



#### > 850 FGSC strains (5 years)



#### (Scoz et al 2009; Astolfi et al. 2012; Del Ponte et al. 2015)

# **Question 3 - Enemy's weapons**

# Should we concern about both **DON** <u>and</u> **NIV** in commercial wheat grain?

Del Ponte et al. (2012)

## Yes! NIVALENOL is present in commercial grain



n = 66 samples

Del Ponte et al. (2012)

## **Question 4 - Understanding the enemy**

# Why is *F. graminearum* (15-ADON) dominant in wheat overall?

#### Is F. graminearum more aggressive?



Spolti et al. (2012)

Nicolli et al (2015)

Duffeck et al (unpublished)

#### Genotype -> chemotype What about trichothecene production?



NIV

Nicolli et al. (2015)

#### Is *F. graminearum* more fertile (perithecia production)?





Trichothecene genotype

Nicolli et al. (unpublished)

## **Question 5 - How to prepare for it?**

#### Should breeders concern about *F. meridionale*?

Mendes et al. (2018)

#### Species x Cultivar = *P* > 0.05



highly aggressive isolate

Mendes et al. (2018)

## **Question 6 - How to best combat it?**

Do fungicides control FHB and increase yield? Which one is better? Are 2 sprays better than 1 spray?

#### Quantitative Review of the Effects of Triazole and Benzimidazole Fungicides on Fusarium Head Blight and Wheat Yield in Brazil

Franklin Jackson Machado, Departamento de Fitopatologia, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, 36570-000, MG, Brazil; Flávio Martins Santana and Douglas Lau, Embrapa Trigo, Passo Fundo, 70770-901 RS, Brazil; and Emerson Medeiros Del Ponte,<sup>†</sup> Departamento de Fitopatologia, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, 36570-000 MG, Brazil

## Meta-analysis

46 studies (yield)38 studies (FHB index)15 years of studies

- Tebuconazole
- Propiconazole
- Carbendazim



### Fungicides do work!



# How well?

Fungicide <sup>a</sup>	n <sup>b</sup>	k <sup>c</sup>	Yield gain (kg/ha)	Efficacy (%)
CARB <sub>2×</sub>	41	33	455.8	55.1
PROP <sub>2×</sub>	27	26	497.4	46.9
TEBUIX	38	22	456.7	58.5
TEBU <sub>2×</sub>	34	25	558.3	53.2

# Show me the money! risk



Probability of breaking even fungicide cost



# **Question 7 - Right weapons?**

## Are **DMI+QoI** premixes better than DMI alone?

# DMI + AZOXystrobin PYRAclostrobin

Del Ponte et al. (unpublished)

### Two sprays (F + 10 DAF)



Del Ponte et al. (unpublished)

# Show me the money 2



Del Ponte et al. (unpublished)

# **Question 8 - The right target!**

# Are all fungicides (alone or premix) reducing DON?



Location: Guarapuava 4-year experiments (2011 - 2014) 9 fungicides treatments 3 inoculations (none, flowering, late milk) DMI, MBC or DMI+QoI

Feksa et al. unpublished doctoral studies Source: Dr. Dauri Tessmann (UEM)

#### Three non-fungicide treated check (inoculations) treatments



Feksa et al. unpublished data from doctoral studies Source: Dr. Dauri Tessmann (UEM)

#### Fungicide groups and DON levels



Feksa et al. unpublished data from doctoral studies Source: Dr. Dauri Tessmann (UEM)



# **Question 9 - Is the enemy adapting?**

# Should we concern about fungicide resistance?



Region: Guarapuava 4-year sampling 35 isolates all location-years EC-50 calculation

Machado et al. (unpublished)

#### EC50 levels for 35 strains



Machado et al. (unpublished)

#### F. graminearum less sensitive to TEBU



Machado et al. (unpublished)

#### Control efficacy TEBU in the field



## **9** Lessons Learned

- 1. Previous crop not important risk factor in the sub-tropics (no-till)
- 2. At least two important species/chemotypes to target
- 3. DON and NIV should be a target in surveys (only DON now)
- 4. One seems more adapted to wheat environments (*F. graminearum*)
- 5. Breeders should use the most aggressive strains
- 6. One spray of tebuconazole is a cost-effective choice (yield)
- 7. Premixes (DMI+QoI) likely do not break even on costs
- 8. Premixes (DMI+QoI) do not reduce DON as single DMIs
- 9. The pathogen may be adapting to fungicides future concern

### **Acknowledgements**



U.S. Wheat & Barley Scab Initiative

#### Collaborators

Dr. J. Maurício Fernandes Dr. Gary Bergstrom Dr. Willingthon Pavan Dr. Dauri Tessmann Dr. Todd Ward Dr. Eliana Furlong Dr. Casiane Tibola Dr. Flávio Santana Dr. Douglas Lau



Pós-graduação

agraria FAPA

Students (2008 - now) Paula Astolfi Piérri Spolti Paulo R. Kuhnem Jr. Camila Nicolli Gabriela Mendes Franklin Machado Maíra Duffeck

