# TISSUE CULTURE-INDUCED VARIABILITY

Critical issues that impact the evaluation and use of transgenic plants





Phil Bregitzer USDA-ARS, Aberdeen, ID 2016 Nat'l FHB Forum

## **TISSUE CULTURE-INDUCED VARIABILITY**

- × aka somaclonal variation (SCV)
- x common (universal?) in regenerated plants
- senetic and epigenetic in nature
- × HERITABLE!!!
- × Larkin and Scowcroft, TAG 60:197, 1981:

"...the failure to observe gross changes...does not negate the possibility of genetic variations which careful...analysis [would] reveal"

#### **TISSUE CULTURE REDUCED BARLEY AGRONOMIC PERFORMANCE**

Selected agronomic characteristics of lines derived from 10-12-wk-old callus, as measured in small-plot yield trials at three Idaho locations, 1992-1993.

Cultivar		Yield (# sig. dif. from control) (kg/ha)	Test weight ((kg/m³)	Plump kernels (%)		
Atlas 57	Control	5859	595	88.9		
	6 R <sub>2</sub> -derived families	4547-5128 (6) <sup>a</sup>	568-584 (6)	83.3-90.6 (3)		
Golden Promise	Control	6165	618	58.8		
	6 R <sub>2</sub> -derived families	5612-6036 (2)	605-622 (2)	42.0-54.5 (3)		
Klages	Control	5859	640	62.6		
	4 R <sub>2</sub> -derived families	4956-5379 (3)	609-649 (1)	45.4-61.2 (2)		
Morex	Control	5364	632	69.3		
	3 R <sub>2</sub> -derived families	4929-5128 (0)	619-628 (2)	65.3-68.9 (1)		
Piroline	Control	6063	667	78.4		
	5 R <sub>2</sub> -derived families	5208-5746 (2)	631-664 (3)	45.2-72.3 (3)		
Steptoe	Control	6923	597	82.5		
	6 R <sub>2</sub> -derived families	6600-7036 (0)	588-601 (0)	80.4-83.5 (0)		
<sup>a</sup> Number of families with means significantly different from the control for the specified trait.						

#### TISSUE CULTURE REDUCED MALTING QUALITY OF BARLEY

Selected malting quality characteristics of	lines derived from	10-12-wk-old callus	, as measured in sn	nall-plot
yield trials at two Idaho locations, 1992–199	3.			

Cultivar		Barley protein (%)	Malt extract (%)	Soluble/total protein (%)	Diastatic power (°ASBC)	α-amylase (DU)	
Klages	Control	12.7	77.0	36.2	109	39.5	
	4 R <sub>2</sub> -derived families	13.2-14.0 (2) <sup>a</sup>	76.0-77.9 (1)	34.1-40.0 (1)	104-118 (0)	36.1-38.0 (1)	
Morex	Control	12.8	77.4	40.0	142	44.0	
	3 R <sub>2</sub> -derived families	13.6-14.0 (2)	76.2-77.6 (1)	39.9-40.6 (0)	167-192 (3)	39.4-40.5 (2)	
Piroline	Control	12.2	76.7	34.6	115	34.4	
	5 R <sub>2</sub> -derived families	13.2-13.7 (3)	74.6-76.7 (2)	28.5-35.0 (2)	107-139 (1)	28.7-35.8 (2)	
<sup>a</sup> Number of families with means significantly different from the control for the specified trait.							

#### TRANSFORMATION INDUCED ADDITIONAL VARIABILITY

Agronomic performance of Golden Promise T<sub>2</sub> transgenic-derived null-segregant barley (as a percentage of nontransgenic GP) grown at two locations in 194 in rows of spaced plants

Family	# lines in family	Height	Yield	100-seed-weight
GP717B-2	1	88	56	74
GP717B-4	5	98	85	84
GP717B-11	2	86	54	70
GP717B-14	2	73	16	57
GP717B-31	1	79	47	77
GP717B-32	5	94	66	79
GP717B-33	4	90	64	74
GP717B-59	1	87	64	81
GP717B-189	4	77	27	66
GP717B-197	5	82	49	72
GP724B-1	1	87	45	74
GP724B-4	4	87	60	93
GP724B-47	1	92	79	88
GP724B-96	4	80	50	75

No recovery of performance with generation advance

# IMPACT OF SCV ON GENETIC ENGINEERING

- x commercially unacceptable performance
  - + repair by breeding.....time!
  - + one backcross took 69% of control yield to 94% in transgenic Conlon plants
- Effects of SCV and intended genetic alterations are confounded
  - + qualitative traits: less of an issue
  - + quantitative traits: big issue

### SCV AFFECTS YOUR CHOICE OF CONTROL

 $\overline{\mathbf{U}}$ 

× Popular choice; / Jured parent

Null-segregant(s)from same event

× Near-isogenic line





### SCV IN TRANSGENIC WHEAT HAD LESS IMPACT

Agronomic performance of null-segregant transgenic wheat lines, as measured in small-plot yield trials in 2002 and 2003.

Location	Aberdeen, ID			Davis, CA			El Centro, CA		
Line	Yield (kg/ha)	Protein (%)	Test weight (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )		Yield (kg/ha)	100- seed- weight (g)		Yield (kg/ha)	Test weight (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )
Bobwhite	6486	12.5	792		2481	3.34		9936	746
Dx51D Hybrid- 20/30	yield	< Bob	white	, m	ean =	6200	) v	/s. 63	01
Hybrid-B null2	5974	13.1	802		2333	3.1		9323	766
LongDx5-B null	6476	12.9	796		1780	3.2		10126	766
LongDx5-F null	6226	13	795		1927	3.19		10095	775
LongDx5-H null	6217	13	793		2064	3.41		9983	759
LongDx5-I null	5982	12.9	792		1905	3.34		10735	775
ShortDx5-C null	6113	13.8	792		2599	3.31		9771	766
ShortDx5-D null	6597	13	787		2399	3.09		11078	756
ShortDx5-H null	6082	13.9	792		1928	3.21		10960	788*
*, **, ***: significant at <i>P</i> =0.05									

#### \*\*\*but not NO impact\*\*\*

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- × USDA-ARS (Aberdeen, Fargo, Albany)
- × Universities of Idaho, California
- × American Malting Barley Association
- × USWBSI
- × Malyn Poulson, Doug Fiedler